



# Sixth International Joint Meeting on **THORACIC SURGERY**

Barcelona - 20<sup>th</sup>, 21<sup>st</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup> November 2024  
Auditorio Foment del Treball Nacional, Barcelona (Spain)

11<sup>th</sup> International Meeting on General Thoracic Surgery



Hospital  
Universitari  
Sagrat Cor

10<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Surgical Exploration of the  
Mediastinum and Systematic Nodal Dissection



5<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Thoracic Oncology, Thoracic  
Surgery, Techniques & Transplant, Respiratory Nursing  
and Respiratory Physiotherapy Areas of the Spanish  
Society of Pneumology and Thoracic Surgery (SEPAR)



3<sup>rd</sup> Joint Meeting of the Spanish Society of  
Thoracic Surgery (SECT)



30<sup>th</sup> Congress of the "Asociación Iberoamericana  
de Cirugía Torácica" AIACT



10<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Surgical Exploration of the  
Mediastinum and Systematic Nodal Dissection



## **THORACOTOMY VS VATS FOR LUNG CANCER RESECTION : IMPACT ON SURVIVAL. RESULTS FROM SPANISH VIDEO- ASSISTED THORACIC SURGERY GROUP**

David Gómez-de-Antonio

*Thoracic Surgery Department. Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro Majadahonda. Madrid.  
Spain*

Lung cancer is one of the most prevalent cancers worldwide and causes the highest number of cancer-related deaths. Approximately 20% of diagnosed patients can eventually undergo curative surgical treatment (1). Over the last few years, lung cancer surgery has evolved significantly, primarily due to minimally invasive surgical techniques.

Available evidence suggests that the VATS (Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Surgery) approach is associated with better pain control, earlier recovery, shorter hospital stays, lower readmission rates, and similar long-term outcomes compared to open surgery (2-6).

In this study, we report survival results in the cohort of patients from the Spanish Group of Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic Surgery (GeVATS) who underwent anatomical lung resection for de novo lung cancer.

**Materials and Methods:** We included all GeVATS cohort patients with long-term follow-up (>24 months), excluding cases with a history of other primary lung tumors, pneumonectomies, and extended resections (n=2634, 40% open surgery, 60% VATS). To account for differences in baseline patient characteristics and adjust for the effect of the surgical approach on patient prognosis, we created a propensity index based on predicted probabilities of receiving VATS or open surgery, considering patient characteristics, tumor location, cN status, and neoadjuvant therapy. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

We used the `teffects` and `stteffects` packages in Stata v17 for our analyses.

**Results:** The excess mortality at 30 days, 90 days, and the readmission rate was higher by 2.28%, 3.94%, and 4.64%, respectively, in cases of open surgery vs VATS ( $p < 0.001$ ). In the long term, there were no differences in time to death from any cause between the two surgical

approaches, nor in cancer-specific mortality. However, disease-free survival was longer in the minimally invasive approach (SHR 1.30; CI: 1.05-1.62) within the open surgery group.

Conclusion: In the GeVATS cohort, a minimally invasive approach is associated with lower early mortality and readmission rates. Although disease-free survival is superior for the VATS approach, overall and cancer-specific survivals are similar in the long term.

## References

1. Las cifras del cáncer de pulmón en España 2022. Sociedad Española de Oncología Médica. ISBN: 978-84-09-38029-9
2. Bendixen M, Jorgensen OD, Kronborg C, et al. Postoperative pain and quality of life after lobectomy via video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery or anterolateral thoracotomy for early stage lung cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2016;17:836-844.
3. Falcoz PE, Puyraveau M, Thomas PA, et al. Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery versus open lobectomy for primary non-small-cell lung cancer: a propensity-matched analysis of outcome from the European Society of Thoracic Surgeon. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2016;49:602-609.
4. Mei J, Guo C, Xia L, et al. Long-term survival outcomes of video-assisted thoracic surgery lobectomy for stage I-II non small cell lung cancer are more favorable than thoracotomy: a propensity score-matched analysis from a high-volume center in China. *Transl Lung Cancer Res.* 2019;8:155-166.
5. Wang Z, Pang L, Tang J, Cheng J, Chen N, Zhou J, Liu L. Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery versus muscle-sparing thoracotomy for non-small cell lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Surg.* 2019 Oct 15;19(1):144. doi: 10.1186/s12893-019-0618-1. PMID: 31615490; PMCID: PMC6794906.
6. Hireche K, Canaud L, Lounes Y, Aouinti S, Molinari N, Alric P. Thoracoscopic Versus Open Lobectomy After Induction Therapy for Nonsmall Cell Lung Cancer: New Study Results and Meta-analysis. *J Surg Res.* 2022 Aug;276:416-432. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2022.04.002. Epub 2022 Apr 22. PMID: 35465975.
7. Lim E, Batchelor TJP, Dunning J, Shackcloth M, Anikin V, Naidu B, Belcher E, Loubani M, Zamvar V, Harris RA, Dabner L, McKeon HE, Paramasivan S, Realpe A, Elliott D, De Sousa P, Stokes EA, Wordsworth S, Blazeby JM, Rogers CA. Video-Assisted Thoracoscopic or Open Lobectomy in Early-Stage Lung Cancer. *NEJM Evid.* 2022 Mar;1(3):EVIDoa2100016. doi: 10.1056/EVIDoa2100016. Epub 2022 Jan 18. PMID: 38319202.